**AFRICAN UNION** 



**UNION AFRICAINE** 

الاتحاد الأفريقي

**UNIÃO AFRICANA** 

## AFRICAN COURT ON HUMAN AND PEOPLES' RIGHTS COUR AFRICAINE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME ET DES PEUPLES

## THE MATTER OF

## LADISLAUS CHALULA

٧.

## **UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA**

**APPLICATION NO. 003/2018** 

**CORRIGENDUM TO JUDGMENT** 

**24 FEBRUARY 2025** 



**CONSIDERING** Rule 79 of the Rules on the rectification of clerical errors;

**CONSIDERING** the Judgment delivered on 5 February 2025;

**MINDFUL** of the need to rectify clerical errors in paragraphs 16, 23, 108, 138 and after the signature of the judges in the said Judgment;

The Court, therefore, makes the following corrigendum, with the amendments highlighted in **bold**:

- i. Paragraph 16 should read: In the instant case, the Court notes that the Respondent State raises objections to its jurisdiction regarding two aspects thereof, namely, A) material jurisdiction and B) temporal jurisdiction.
- ii. Paragraph 23 should read: With regard to the first limb of the objection, the Court reiterates its established case-law that **although** it is not an appellate body with respect to decisions of national courts,<sup>1</sup> this does not preclude it from examining proceedings of the said courts in order to determine whether they were conducted in accordance with the standards set out in the Charter or any other human rights instruments ratified by the State **concerned**.<sup>2</sup>
- iii. Paragraph 108 should read: The assessment of the amount of reparation relating thereto should be made on the basis of equity, taking into account the particular circumstances of each case.<sup>3</sup> In this regard, the Court has consistently awarded a lump **sum.**
- iv. Paragraph 138 should read: The Court notes that proceedings before it are free of charge. Furthermore, although each Party requests that costs be borne by the other, they do not provide proof that they incurred any costs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Umalo Mussa v. Tanzania, supra, § 21; Nguza Viking (Babu Seya) and Johnson Nguza (Papi Kocha) v. United Republic of Tanzania (merits) (23 March 2018), 2 AfCLR 287, § 35.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Armand Guehi v. United Republic of Tanzania (merits and reparations) (7 December 2018) 2 AfCLR 477, § 33.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Zongo and Others v. Burkina Faso (reparations), supra, § 55; Ingabire Victoire Umuhoza v. Republic of Rwanda (reparations) (7 December 2018) 2 AfCLR 202, § 59 and Jonas v. Tanzania, ibid., § 23.

v. After the signature of the judges, it should read: In accordance with Article 28(7) of the Protocol and Rule 70(2) and (3) of the Rules of Court, the **Separate Opinion** of Judge Rafaâ BEN ACHOUR, and the **Declarations** of Judge Blaise TCHIKAYA and Judge Dumisa B. NTSEBEZA are attached to this judgment.

Done at Arusha this Twenty-Fourth Day of February in the Year Two Thousand and Twenty-Five in English and French, the English text being authoritative.

Signed:

Modibo SACKO, Vice-President; and

Robert ENO, Registrar